The Dual Imperative of Human Development: Improvement and Evolution through Perfection and Creative Innovation

Author:
Ernesto Mascarell Perez
Independent Researcher
mascarell1960@hotmail.com

Abstract

This article presents the nature of the improvement and evolution processes that favour human development. These processes involve the integration of two qualitatively distinct but complementary biological strategies: perfection (automation, efficiency, exploitation of the known) and creativity/innovation (exploration, generation of novelty, adaptation to the unforeseen). Drawing on complex systems theory, neuroscience and developmental psychology, it is argued that optimal human development does not consist of maximising one of these strategies at the expense of the other, but in the ability to move rhythmically between both.

Keywords: Human development; complex systems; brain plasticity; deliberate practice; creativity; innovation; perfection; neurocognition; neurophysiology; neuroendocrinology; control; novelty.

---

1. Introduction

How can a human being reach the peak of performance in a domain — such as playing an instrument with the precision of a concert soloist — and at the same time be able to break their own rules to create something radically new, such as an unprecedented musical style? For decades, developmental psychology and the learning sciences have tended to privilege one of these poles, constructing a false dichotomy between the perfection of the established and the spark of creativity. This article starts from a fundamental premise: the human being is a dynamic, open complex system that, in order to stay alive and evolve, must use

two opposing and complementary evolutionary strategies: one that responds to the demand for order and efficiency (preserving and optimising resources), and another that requires openness and novelty (adapting to changing environments and incorporating new matter, energy and information).

The tension between strategies responds to a law of the thermodynamics of open systems, observable at all levels of life, from cellular metabolism to the evolution of societies. The great question, therefore, is not whether we should choose between perfection and creative innovation, but how we can learn to integrate them and move between them effectively. This work aims to answer this question, unravelling the scientific bases of both strategies from three complementary perspectives — cognitive, physical and emotional — and presenting evidence that their integration is the most powerful path for human development.

---

2. Theoretical Framework: A System in Dynamic Equilibrium

To address this problem, a framework that overcomes linear and reductionist models is required. Our proposal rests on three pillars.

2.1 The Non-Linear Nature of Development: Dynamic Systems

Human development does not follow a sequence of fixed and universal stages (Piaget, Kohlberg). It behaves like a self-organised complex system, with non-linear trajectories, qualitative leaps, plateaus and regressions. According to dynamic systems theory (Thelen & Smith, 1994), development emerges from the interaction of multiple components (biological, behavioural, environmental) that assemble in real time. Developmental transitions are global reorganisations of the system, not mere accumulations. The system can oscillate between phases of stability (perfection) and phases of instability (creativity), this alternation being the engine of change.

2.2 The Biological Substrate: Lifelong Brain Plasticity

The brain retains throughout life the capacity to modify its structure and function in response to experience (Diamond, 2001; Eagleman, 2020). This plasticity sustains both the possibility of perfecting skills through the automation of circuits, and the possibility of innovating through the establishment of new synaptic connections between distant networks.

2.3 Refutation of Determinism: Domain Talent

In contrast to IQ psychometrics (fixed and hereditary *g* factor), we adopt the concept of domain talent: a person's potential is their learning slope under optimal conditions in a specific domain. To develop talent, a talent niche is needed: an environment that provides graded tasks, expert feedback and motivational support, designed to cultivate both perfection and creativity.

---

3. The Two Fundamental Processes of Development

3.1 Perfection: Exploitation and Efficiency

Process by which skills and knowledge are optimised and automated. Its main function is to reduce uncertainty and the expenditure of attentional and energetic resources. It is based on deliberate practice (Ericsson & Pool, 2016), which produces myelination of neural pathways. Key regions: basal ganglia (habits) and cerebellum (fine tuning). Psychology of the process: convergent thinking, high discipline, self-efficacy; error is an enemy to be minimised.

3.2 Creativity and Innovation: Exploration and Openness

Creativity generates novel and useful ideas; innovation implements them successfully. Its function is to generate variability and adapt to change. It is associated with the Default Mode Network (DMN) and its interaction with the Frontoparietal Executive Network, which allows ideas to be evaluated. Psychology of the process: divergent thinking, tolerance for ambiguity; error is a source of information.

---

4. Neurocognitive Foundations: The Intellectual Substrate

They correspond to the intellectual dimension of human development. They include learning, memory, thinking, reasoning and metacognition mechanisms.

4.1 Generative Learning

Intellectual learning is an active construction of meaning through the generation of relationships between new information and prior knowledge (Wittrock, 1974). In perfection, it is oriented towards consolidating schemas; in creativity, towards producing remote associations and conceptual restructurings.

4.2 Bilateral Processing

The left hemisphere specialises in sequential, analytical and verbal processing; the right, in holistic, spatial and metaphorical processing (Williams, 1983). Perfection benefits from convergent thinking (left), but creativity requires bilateral integration through the corpus callosum.

4.3 Dual Systems of Thought

According to Kahneman (2011), System 1 is fast, automatic and intuitive; System 2 is slow, deliberate and analytical. Deliberate practice transfers tasks from System 2 to System 1 (automation). Creativity requires de-automation: inhibiting the intuitive response of System 1 and reactivating System 2.

4.4 Executive Functions

- **Working memory:** perfection optimises it for specific domains; creativity uses it to combine distant elements.
- **Cognitive flexibility:** essential for creativity, but also for adjusting strategies in perfection.
- **Inhibition:** creativity depends on inhibiting the obvious response; perfection uses it to avoid errors.

4.5 Transfer of Learning

Perfection promotes near transfer (application to similar situations); creativity, far transfer (application to different domains). Both can be explicitly trained.

---

5. Neurophysiological Foundations: The Physical Substrate

They correspond to the physical dimension of human development: motor control, sensory perception, coordination, automation of gestures and enactive cognition.

5.1 Motor Automation and Myelination

Deliberate practice produces myelination of neural pathways, accelerating nerve conduction and allowing faster, more precise and more economical movements (Ericsson & Pool, 2016). Key regions: basal ganglia, cerebellum, motor cortex.

5.2 Sensorimotor Plasticity

The motor system modifies its maps according to experience. Perfection refines adjustments to changing conditions; physical creativity requires destabilising consolidated patterns to explore new motor repertoires (adaptive variability).

5.3 Perception-Action Loop

Cognition is embodied (Varela, Thompson & Rosch, 1991). The loop integrates sensory information with the generation of movements. In perfection, the loop becomes efficient; in creativity, it opens up to explore non-routine responses.

5.4 Bilateral Coordination and Interhemispheric Integration

The corpus callosum is fundamental. The perfection of bimanual tasks increases its efficiency; physical creativity also benefits from good interhemispheric integration (e.g., improvising musicians).

5.5 Economy of Movement versus Adaptive Variability

Perfection seeks economy (reducing degrees of freedom, minimising energy expenditure). Physical creativity seeks variability (expanding the repertoire of responses to unforeseen events). Healthy development alternates phases of fixation and exploration.

---

6. Neuroendocrine Foundations: The Emotional and Motivational Substrate

They correspond to the volitional (emotional and motivational) dimension. Hormones modulate orientation towards control or towards novelty.

6.1 The Control Axis: Cortisol

Moderate and predictable levels of cortisol facilitate habit consolidation and automation (Sapolsky, 2015). Chronic excess atrophies the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, producing rigidity.

6.2 The Novelty Axis: Dopamine

Dopamine (mesolimbic system) is the molecule of anticipatory reward and novelty seeking (Cohen et al., 2007; Beeler et al., 2010). It favours cognitive flexibility, remote associations and motor exploration.

6.3 Cortisol-Dopamine Interaction

Chronic stress reduces dopaminergic transmission (loss of exploratory motivation). Excess dopamine without structure generates impulsivity and chaos. Optimal development occurs in the zone of dynamic equilibrium.

6.4 Other Modulating Hormones

- **Noradrenaline:** focused attention (moderate levels for perfection; low for creativity).
- **Serotonin:** mood stability and frustration tolerance.
- **Testosterone:** risk-taking and novelty seeking.
- **Oxytocin:** social safety that facilitates creative expression and consolidates bonds.

6.5 Sensitive Hormonal Periods

- **Adrenarche (6-8 years):** increase in DHEA, optimal for fostering creativity without pressure.
- **Puberty:** elevation of testosterone and estradiol, potentiates both control and novelty.
- **Perimenopause and andropause:** hormonal decline, may incline towards routine; requires intervention with planned novelty (Mascarell, 2024).

---

7. The Need for Integration: The Rhythm of Development

Human development is not a linear accumulation of skills, but a rhythmic alternation between phases of consolidation and phases of exploration. The individual who only perfects becomes rigid and fragile in the face of change; the one who only innovates becomes dispersed and ineffective. The key lies in designing environments and practices that consciously orchestrate this oscillation, respecting the sensitive periods and hormonal axes that underlie each mode.

---

8. Application to the Sports Field: The Champion's Heartbeat

Elite sport is a paradigmatic laboratory. We propose an integrative weekly training cycle:

Phase	Mode	Content	Substrates
Systole	Perfection	Closed high-repetition exercises (e.g., 50 shots to the corners)	Neurocognitive: System 1; Neurophysiological: myelination; Neuroendocrine: moderate cortisol
Diastole	Creative innovation	Games with changing rules, controlled chaos (e.g., 3 vs. 3 penalising repetition)	Neurocognitive: divergent, flexibility; Neurophysiological: variability; Neuroendocrine: dopamine
Integration	Improvement	Match adapting and improvising strategy according to game demands	Metacognition: knowing when, where and how to act

---

9. Implications and Conclusions

1. **Human development is the result of the interaction of two complementary and antagonistic strategies: perfection and creative innovation.** Personal and collective evolution requires the integration of both.
2. **The nature of development is non-linear and systemic,** with lifelong brain plasticity, maturational asynchrony, leaps and plateaus.
3. **The neurocognitive foundations** (generative learning, dual systems, executive functions) constitute the intellectual substrate that allows the automation and de-automation of thought.
4. **The neurophysiological foundations** (myelination, perception-action loop, bilateral coordination) constitute the physical substrate that allows the economy and variability of movement.

5. **The neuroendocrine foundations** (cortisol, dopamine, other hormones and sensitive periods) provide the emotional and motivational substrate that biases the organism towards control or novelty. The balance between axes is critical.
6. **In education, sport and work,** it is necessary to abandon unilateral approaches. The true engine of development is a cyclical training that alternates deliberate practice and creative exploration, designing talent niches sensitive to critical periods.
7. **Success and well-being depend on the ability to orchestrate this internal rhythm.** The person who only exploits is fragile; the one who only explores is ineffective. The champion, the artist, the scientist and the citizen of the future will be those who have learned to beat with a flexible heart: strong and precise when it is time to contract, open and expansive when it is time to fill with new air.

References

- Beeler, J. A., et al. (2010). A role for dopamine in the regulation of exploration-exploitation trade-off. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 4, 170.
- Cohen, J. D., McClure, S. M., & Yu, A. J. (2007). Should I stay or should I go? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 362(1481), 933-942.
- Diamond, M. C. (2001). Response of the brain to enrichment. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 73(2), 211-220.
- Eagleman, D. (2020). *El cerebro: nuestra historia*. Ancora.
- Ericsson, K. A., & Pool, R. (2016). *Peak: Secrets from the New Science of Expertise*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Mascarell, E. (2024). Escala y dimensiones del desarrollo humano. Internal document.
- Sapolsky, R. M. (2015). Stress and the brain: Individual variability and the inverted-U. *Nature Neuroscience*, 18(10), 1344-1346.
- Thelen, E., & Smith, L. B. (1994). *A Dynamic Systems Approach to the Development of Cognition and Action*. MIT Press.
- Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). *The Embodied Mind*. MIT Press.
- Williams, L. V. (1983). *Teaching for the Two-Sided Mind*. Simon & Schuster.
- Wittrock, M. C. (1974). Learning as a generative process. *Educational Psychologist*, 11(2), 87-95.